

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

# **Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5** Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

Project Information
Project Name: 326-N-WalnutReconstruction
<b>HEROS Number:</b> 900000010072093
Responsible Entity (RE): WICHITA, CITY HALL WICHITA KS, 67202
State / Local Identifier:
RE Preparer: Brad Snapp - Housing Manager
Certifying Office Robert Layton r:
Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent ity):
Point of Contact:
Consultant (if applicabl e):
Point of Contact:
<b>Project Location:</b> 326 N Walnut St, Wichita, KS 67203
<b>Additional Location Information:</b> N/A
Direct Comments to:
Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The City of Wichita proposes to raze the existing vacant and hazardous single family dwelling located at 326 N Walnut in Wichita Kansas and reconstruct a similar sized house on nearly the same footprint. The new house will have a slab-on-grade foundation and will be approximately 600 square feet. The existing 612 square foot house was built in 1910. The Sedgwick County Appraiser's property data shows the house in poor condition. The City of Wichita's original plan was to rehabilitate the property, but Housing and Community Services staff and contractors discovered the structure was dangerous and rehabilitation was not feasible. The income-eligible owner of the existing structure will move into the new home.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at 58.5:

#### **Determination:**

	This categorically excluded activity/project converts to <b>EXEMPT</b> per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; <b>Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part</b> for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR
<b>√</b>	This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds"</b> (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR
	This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).

#### **Approval Documents:**

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

#### **Funding Information**

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name
	Community Planning and	Community Development Block Grants
B-18-MC-20-004	Development (CPD)	(CDBG) (Entitlement)

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted or Insured Amount:

\$120,000.00

Estimated Total Project Cost:

\$120,000.00

# Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)  ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6	
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.	
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	□ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.	
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	☐ Yes ☑ No	The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.	
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5			

Air Quality	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this
Clean Air Act, as amended,		project includes no activities that would
particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40		require further evaluation under the
CFR Parts 6, 51, 93		Clean Air Act. The project is in
		compliance with the Clean Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that
Coastal Zone Management Act,		does not participate in the Coastal Zone
sections 307(c) & (d)		Management Program. Therefore, this
(3)		project is in compliance with the Coastal
		Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic	☑ Yes □ No	On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or
Substances		radioactive substances were found that
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		could affect the health and safety of
2 1 6 11 30.3(1) & 30.3(1)(2)]		project occupants or conflict with the
		intended use of the property. The
		adverse environmental impacts can be
		mitigated. With mitigation, identified in
		the mitigation section of this review, the
		project will be in compliance with
		contamination and toxic substances
		requirements.
Endangered Species Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project will have No Effect on listed
Endangered Species Act of 1973,	l les E No	species because there are no listed
particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part		species or designated critical habitats in
402		the action area. This project is in
402		compliance with the Endangered
		Species Act.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the
Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part	l les E No	project includes no activities that would
51 Subpart C		require further evaluation under this
31 Subpart C		section. The project is in compliance
		with explosive and flammable hazard
		•
Farmlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	requirements.  This project does not include any
Farmland Protection Policy Act of	LI TES EL INO	activities that could potentially convert
•		agricultural land to a non-agricultural
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)		
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		use. The project is in compliance with
	□ Vac □ Na	the Farmland Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not occur in a
Executive Order 11988, particularly		floodplain. The project is in compliance
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	□ Vaa □ Na	with Executive Order 11988.
Historic Preservation	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on Section 106 consultation the
National Historic Preservation Act of		project will have No Adverse Effect on
1966, particularly sections 106 and		historic properties. Conditions: None.
110; 36 CFR Part 800		Upon satisfactory implementation of

		the conditions, which should be monitored, the project is in compliance	
		with Section 106.	
Noise Abatement and Control	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is modernization or minor	
Noise Control Act of 1972, as		rehabilitation of an existing residential	
amended by the Quiet Communities		property. A Preliminary Screening was	
Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart		performed, and found the following:	
В		See attached The project is in	
		compliance with HUD's Noise regulation	
		without mitigation.	
Sole Source Aquifers	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, the	
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as		project consists of activities that are	
amended, particularly section		unlikely to have an adverse impact on	
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149		groundwater resources. The project is in	
		compliance with Sole Source Aquifer	
		requirements.	
Wetlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description this	
Executive Order 11990, particularly		project includes no activities that would	
sections 2 and 5		require further evaluation under this	
		section. The project is in compliance	
		with Executive Order 11990.	
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a	
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968,		NWSRS river. The project is in	
particularly section 7(b) and (c)		compliance with the Wild and Scenic	
		Rivers Act.	
HUD HO	OUSING ENVIRONMEN	ITAL STANDARDS	
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE			
Environmental Justice	☐ Yes ☑ No	Adverse environmental impacts are not	
Executive Order 12898		disproportionately high for low-income	
		and/or minority communities. The	
		project is in compliance with Executive	
		Order 12898.	

### Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law,	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on	Complete
Authority, or		Completed	
Factor		Measures	
	An active vapor mitigation system will be installed in the reconstructed unit. If		
Contamination and Toxic	any water wells are located on the site they shall be reported to the Wichita	N/A	
Substances	Office of Environmental Health and inspected. The reconstructed unit shall		
	utilize City sewer and water.		

### **Mitigation Plan**

An active vapor mitigation system shall be installed in the reconstructed dwelling unit at 326 N Walnut, Wichita KS 67203.

Supporting documentation on completed measures

#### **APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities**

# **Airport Hazards**

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Airport Clear Zone Map 326 N Walnut.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Coastal Barrier Resources**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Coastal Barrier Resource System List of States - NO Kansas.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

# 1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

### 2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

FIRMETTE 825519f0-c5c3-11e8-9d4d-001b21b31e35.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The <u>FEMA Map Service Center</u> provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

<u>Screen Summary</u> Compliance Determination The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Wichita GIS Flood Zone X Map for 326 N Walnut.pdf FIRMETTE\_825519f0-c5c3-11e8-9d4d-001b21b31e35(1).pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Air Quality**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes



Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Current Non-Attainment Counties as of March 31 2018 EPA Greenbook.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Coastal Zone Management Act**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Coastal Zone Management Webpage - NO Kansas.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Contamination and Toxic Substances**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive		
substances, where a hazard could affect the		
health and safety of the occupants or conflict		
with the intended utilization of the property.		

Evaluate the site for contamination. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property?

No

✓ Yes

Check here if an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) report was utilized. [Note: HUD regulations does not require an ASTM Phase I ESA report for single family homes]

#### 2. Mitigation

Document and upload the mitigation needed according to the requirements of the appropriate federal, state, tribal, or local oversight agency. If the adverse environmental effects cannot be mitigated, then HUD assistance may not be used for the project at this site.

Can adverse environmental impacts be mitigated?

Adverse environmental impacts cannot feasibly be mitigated.

Yes, adverse environmental impacts can be eliminated through mitigation. Document and upload all mitigation requirements below.

3. Describe how compliance was achieved in the text box below. Include any of the following that apply: State Voluntary Clean-up Program, a No Further Action letter, use of engineering controls, or use of institutional controls.

An active vapor mitigation system will be installed in the reconstructed unit. If any water wells are located on the site they shall be reported to the Wichita Office of Environmental Health and inspected. The reconstructed unit shall utilize City sewer and water.

If a remediation plan or clean-up program was necessary, which standard does it follow?

Complete removal

Risk-based corrective action (RBCA)

Other

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances were found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property. The adverse environmental impacts can be mitigated. With mitigation, identified in the mitigation section of this review, the project will be in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

#### **Supporting documentation**

326 N Walnut Contamination and Toxic Substances.pdf KDHE and COW GIS Environmental Reports.pdf 326 N Walnut - Groundwater Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No

### **Endangered Species**

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

# 1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

✓ Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

#### 2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below. Documentation may include letters from the Services, species lists from the Services' websites, surveys or other documents and analysis showing that there are no species in the action area.

Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.

# **Screen Summary**

### **Compliance Determination**

This project will have No Effect on listed species because there are no listed species or designated critical habitats in the action area. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

### **Supporting documentation**

USFWS Approval Letter 11-02-18.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Explosive and Flammable Hazards**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1.	Is the proposed HUD-assisted project a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores
handles	s or processes flammable or combustible chemicals), i.e. bulk fuel storage facilities,
refineri	es, etc.?

✓	No
	Ves

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

✓	No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Farmlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes



If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

#### **Supporting documentation**

#### 326 N Walnut APE Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



# Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and		
indirect support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		

# 1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

#### 2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

#### FIRMETTE\_825519f0-c5c3-11e8-9d4d-001b21b31e35.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

#### Does your project occur in a floodplain?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.

### **Supporting documentation**

Wichita GIS Flood Zone X Map for 326 N Walnut(1).pdf FIRMETTE\_825519f0-c5c3-11e8-9d4d-001b21b31e35(2).pdf

### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Historic Preservation**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisi
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	dx_10/36cfr800_10.html
(NHPA) require a		
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

#### Threshold

#### Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

# Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed
- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

✓ Cheyenne and Arapaho

Tribes Not Required

✓ Osage Nation Not Required

✓ Wichita and Affiliated

Tribes Not Required

Other Consulting Parties

#### Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

City of Wichita consulted the Kansas Historic Preservation Office because the subject property was constructed in 1910. All residential properties on the block were built between 1880 and 1930. Half of them were built in 1910.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

#### Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

 Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

#### **Additional Notes:**

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the

#### project?

Yes

√ No

#### Step 3 – Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

No Historic Properties Affected

#### ✓ No Adverse Effect

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### **Document reason for finding:**

In a letter from the Kansas SHPO, dated November 5, 2018, "The SHPO has determined that the proposed project will not adversely affect any property listed or determined eligible for listing in the National Register. As far as this office is concerned, the project may proceed.

#### Does the No Adverse Effect finding contain conditions?

Yes (check all that apply)

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload

concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Adverse Effect

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on Section 106 consultation the project will have No Adverse Effect on historic properties. Conditions: None. Upon satisfactory implementation of the conditions, which should be monitored, the project is in compliance with Section 106.

#### **Supporting documentation**

When to Consult with Tribes Under Section 106.pdf

Niederlanders Addition 1884 Plat.pdf

Kathy Morgan MAPD re Morris Kohl Dry Goods.pdf

Historical Atlas of Sedgwick County, Kansas - 23d - Kansas Memory.pdf

SHPO Approval 11-05-2018.pdf

326 N Walnut Area of Potential Effect Photos.pdf

326 N Walnut APE Map(1).pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

#### **Noise Abatement and Control**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

#### 1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

The project is modernization or minor rehabilitation of an existing residential property. A Preliminary Screening was performed, and found the following: See attached.. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation without mitigation.

#### Supporting documentation

HUD DNL Calculator 326 N Walnut.pdf
North Seneca Street ADT Chart - 326 N Walnut.pdf
326 N Walnut ADT Data Projection Worksheet for Noise.xlsx

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Sole Source Aquifers**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1.	Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing
building	g(s)?

✓ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description, the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Sole Source Aquifers - Kansas 2018.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Wetlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

 Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Wetlands Mapper - 326 N Walnut.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No.

#### Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

#### 1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Wild and Scenic Rivers System - Kansas.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Environmental Justice**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1.	Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review
portion	of this project's total environmental review?

✓ Yes

No

2. Were these adverse environmental impacts disproportionately high for low-income and/or minority communities?

Yes

✓ No

#### **Explain:**

Groundwater contamination plumes are present, however concentrations have dropped to levels below MCL limits for acceptable drinking water standards.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload any supporting documentation below.

#### **Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination** 

Adverse environmental impacts are not disproportionately high for low-income and/or minority communities. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

### **Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes